Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/28 : CIA-	RDP86T01017R000707490001-3
Central Intelligence Agency	Tu -
	DATE // //8/84 FILE DOC NO ALA M 86-20058
	DOC NO ALA M 86-20058
Washington D C 20505	OIR <u>3</u>
13 November 1986	P & PD
The Potential for a Latin American Debt	Disaster 25X1
Summary	25X1
three assessments point to strong grounds for optimism problem during the balance of the 1980s, each draws attended of vulnerability in current debtor-creditor relations that curravel. Taken together, these analytically complemental underscore the fragility of the debt situation in Latin Amfor the region and the US. Indeed, they note that severa renewed financial crisis are already in place, including difference as slow OECD growth and low commodity prices; the growimposed austerity measures among the Latin American period new civilian governments to increase living standards; and new lending for beleaguered debtors coupled with banking partial write down of bad loans. Finally, the assessments in which key debtor countries invoke payments moratorical effects on the US banking system, the consolidation of dustrade prospects.  ***  The Project	ention to different critical points could cause debt conditions to ry expositions serve to rerica and the large stakes at risk I necessary conditions for a fficult economic conditions such wing unpopularity of government reople and commitments by their rid, foreign banks' resistance to ring regulations that prohibit a s note that a renewed debt crisis ams would have alarming
	25X <sup>2</sup>
	25X1
This memorandum was prepared by Sour African and Latin American Anaylsis. Comments and queries	th America Division, Office of
	25X' octed to Chief, South America 25X'
	ALA-M-86-20058 25X1
	25X <sup>2</sup>

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/28 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000707490001-3	
	25 <b>X</b> 1
•	05)//
	25X1
	25 <b>X</b> 1
Although the experts did	
their studies do cast fresh light on the potential for a Latin American debt disaster with some interesting and perceptive new analytical twists. In particular, the three experts point to several ways—not all of which are obvious—in which the Latin American debt bomb could be exploded, with serious repercussions in both that region and the United States in all cases.	25 <b>X</b> 1
Detonation from International Economic Shocks	
Using a quantitative methodology,  assesses the impact of a plausible worst-case triggered by international economic shocks on Latin American growth and debt. For purposes of comparison, his baseline outlook for the medium term calls for considerably higher Latin American growth than the dismal record of the past five years and for continued manageability of the debt problem. The international economic conditions underlying his base case assume 3 percent annual growth among the industrial countries, approximately 4 percent average annual inflation in the industrial countries, a nominal LIBOR lending rate of some 8 percent, and real oil prices hovering around \$15 per	25X1
barrel in 1986 prices. Against this baseline forecast, he analyzes the potential adverse effects of a plausible deterioration in the international environment.	25X1
The worst plausible case postulates a "hard-landing" for the overvalued US dollar and the international economy. A plummeting dollar would prompt the US Federal Reserve to increase interest rates by three percentage points and, consequently, precipitate a recession in the industrial countries that would reduce	25X1 25X1
average annual OECD growth to 1.4 percent during 1987-1990. Using estimates of the elasticity of Latin American exports with respect to OECD growth and of increases in key	25 <b>X</b> 1
debtor country interest bills, the worst plausible case has a devastating impact on Latin America's foreign exchange positions. If the Latin debtor countries absorb the foreign exchange squeeze with commensurate cuts in imports, they would suffer serious domestic growth repercussions. By calculating an elasticity of real output in Latin America	25X1
with respect to import availability, his worst plausible scenario would result in a decline of annual GDP growth by about 3 percent for Brazil, Mexico and Argentina, with	25 <b>X</b> 1
one-third of the decline attributable to slower OECD growth and two-thirds to higher interest rates (see table).	25X1
Because a renewed cash flow crisis could heighten the appeal of radical debt actions, also undertakes an evaluation of the effects of a debt moratorium, default, or repudiation on key Latin debtor countries' finances and growth. His quantitative analysis of the foreign exchange freed up by non-payment versus the foreign exchange lost through retaliatory disruptions to trade and new borrowings indicates that Latin American debtors on average would see their growth slow by one percentage point annually should they declare a moratorium. Thus, Latin American economic growth already depressed by external shocks	25 <b>X</b> 1
would be set back still further by debtor moratorium responses.  also finds significant the implication that industrial countries can wreak more havoc on Latin economies through their policy mismanagement than the debtor countries are likely to bring down on	25 <b>X</b> 1
themselves by declaring a moratorium.	25 <b>X</b> 1

	2
•	
etonation From Inadequate Latin Economic Reform	
In a second study, foresees we worst-case outcomes for the region from a debt bomb explosion, each leading to indesirable political as well as economic consequences for the United States. She cautions at her assessment is not meant to be predictive but attempts to examine in a disciplined and any what could happen "if". At bottom of both scenarios is the potential for the new emocratic governments in Latin America to adopt misguided policies in efforts aimed at uickly improving the economic lot of their peoples. She argues that economic development not only a shared goal of every Latin American country, but probably is the only dogma at enjoys overriding and across-the-board support in the region. The new democracies inderstand that they have to deliver economically or else face the prospect that they will be	2
usted like their military predecessors.	2
Her first scenario, dubbed the "high-level debt bomb detonation," closely resembles the standard analysis, but the second scenario spotlights a distinctly different but equally entinent prospect. According to the high-level case, a debtor country finds it cannot meet apayment obligations as a result of a collapse in oil prices or some other adverse external ent and, consequently, takes unilateral measures—such as a payments moratorium—that irns political points domestically but inflicts serious harm on creditors. By contrast, in her cond scenario, a "low-level" detonation occurs in an international economic	2
vironment—including moderate OECD growth—much as it exists now. Although debt ligations are manageable, Latin governments squander their portunity to make important adjustments and reforms necessary to strengthen their conomies. Accordingly, they fail to implement orthodox stabilization policies, to cut fiscal ficits, to get rid of state—owned enterprises, to increase and diversify exports, and to eralize foreign investment restrictions. As a result, Latin American debtors sink deeper into economic morass, their image in the eyes of world economic officials worsens, and so do eir abilities to climb out of their debt difficulties. Except for trade credits, international editors no longer would consider major lending to countries in the region.	2
Deteriorating financial and economic conditions in the region under both scenarios,	2
probably would lead to a reversal of the democratization process and the pirth of authoritarian governments. Although the exact process would vary from country to untry (  Latin American countries can tolerate for a while a period economic deterioration—as they already have), pressures by interest groups for jobs and proved living standards would eventually build and create social unrest, opening the way more authoritarian governments that base their legitimacy on maintaining order and cisive action. She notes that the countries now being visibly destabilized by the debt crisis a Peru and Mexico and the countries that are vulnerable but not in immediate danger stude Brazil, Argentina, Ecuador, and Uruguay. Chile is vulnerable politically, but for reasons	2
t related to debt.	2
etonation Caused by Banks' Shareholder Suits	
	2
editor actions also could trigger a worst-case Latin American debt scenario. This scenario	_

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/28 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000707490001-3	
	25 <b>X</b> 1
•	
might arise in connection with a shareholder's suit over bank participation in a lending package designed to provide additional funding to Latin debtors. He notes that some key money-center banks in the United States are highly vulnerable to such developments because of the heavy concentation of Latin debt inside their portfolios and because of US banking regulations that require full write-down of non-performing loans in the event that interest arrearages reach a certain level. In his view, Latin America's need for additional financial resources will inevitably create tensions between these money-center banks, which are willing to provide funds to keep their interest payments current and protect the value of their assets, and regional banks, which may be under pressure from directors and shareholders to reduce their exposure to the area.	25X1
In this worst-case scenario, the shareholders of a regional bank that was planning to provide additional funding for a Latin American debtor sue the bank's directors for not protecting bank capital. The case would go before a judge whose regional perspective was sharper than his national perspective and who might uphold the suit. News of a successful suit barring commitment of additional funds to the Latin American borrower would prompt a suit or suits by blocks of shareholders in larger banks, enjoining their directors not to participate in an additional lending package. The failure of American banks to contribute would precipitate a collapse of the package since banks outside the United States would be unwilling to agree to disproportionate increases in their commitments. Such a collapse would then result in an accumulation of interest arrearages for the debtor because of its inability to service its loans in a timely manner and, subsequently, lead to a decision by US regulators that loans to that country are non-performing.	25X1
Furthermore, in a worst-case scenario, a crisis starting in	25X1
Furthermore, in a worst-case scenario, a crisis starting in one Latin American debtor country might well spread to a number of others. The vision of Mexico, for example, ceasing to service its debt would put tremendous pressure on governments in Argentina, Brazil, and Chile, who are enduring the effects of large net	20/(1
resource transfers abroad, to cease payments. were repudiations of external payment obligations to include the five major Latin American borrowers—Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Mexico, and Venezuela—the solvency of major	25 <b>X</b> 1
money-center banks would be threatened.	25 <b>X</b> 1
Implications for the United States	
The worst-case events clearly would have far reaching negative effects on US interests at home and in Latin America. Should several Latin American debtors be forced toor choose towithhold debt servicing payments, many US and foreign banks would have to write down substantial amounts of their Latin American loans and suffer sizable financial losses.	25X1 25X1
	25 <b>X</b> 1

Sanitized Copy Approved for	Release 2011/03/28	: CIA-RDP86T01017R	000707490001-3	2
				2

## Latin American GDP Growth Under Alternative Scenarios, 1987-1990

## Worst Plausible Cases

Country	Baseline Scenario	External Shock	Debt <u>Moratorium</u>	Combined
Argentina	3.5	0.4	2.5	-0.6
Brazil	6.0	3.1	5.0	2.1
Mexico	3.5	0.7	2.5	-0.3
Rest of Latin America	3.8	0.8	2.8	-0.2

Saniti	zed Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/28 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000707490001-3
	•
SUBJECT:	The Potential for a Latin American Debt Disaster
Distribution	n:
EXTE	RNAL:
	1 - Doug Mulholland, TREASURY 1 - James W. Conrow, TREASURY 1 - Robert Cornell, TREASURY 1 - Thomas J. Berger, TREASURY 1 - Charles Schotta, TREASURY 1 - James A. Griffin, TREASURY 1 - Charles Dallara, TREASURY 1 - Charles Dallara, TREASURY 1 - Ciro DeFalco, TREASURY 1 - Denis Lamb, STATE 1 - Jerome H. Kahan, STATE 1 - Ralph Lindstrom, STATE 1 - Ralph Lindstrom, STATE 1 - Robert S. Gelbard, STATE 1 - Robert S. Gelbard, STATE 1 - James H. Michel, STATE 1 - Richard Holwill, STATE 1 - William G. Walker, STATE 1 - William G. Walker, STATE 1 - Luigi Einaudi, STATE 1 - A. Harold Eisner, STATE 1 - Richard Melton, STATE 1 - Perry Shankle, STATE 1 - Perry Shankle, STATE 1 - Perry Shankle, STATE 1 - J. Phillip McLean, STATE 1 - Elkin Taylor, STATE 1 - Byron Jackson, COMMERCE 1 - Ann H. Hughes, COMMERCE
	<ul> <li>1 - Col. David Montague, DOD</li> <li>1 - Stephen P. Farrar, NSC</li> <li>1 - Stephen I. Danzansky, NSC</li> <li>1 - Jacqueline Tillman, NSC</li> <li>1 - Raymond Burkhardt, NSC</li> </ul>
INTER	NAL:
	1 - D/DCI-DDCI 1 - DDI

6

25X1

25X1

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/28 : CIA-RDP86T01017R000707490001-3	25X1
SUBJECT: The Potential for a Latin American Debt Disaster  Distribution:	25 <b>X</b> 1
INTERNAL:  1 - O/DDI 1 - NIO/Econ 1 - NIO/LA 1 - PDB Staff 1 - C/PES 1 - DDI/CPAS/ILS 1 - D/ALA 1 - D/OGI 2 - ALA/PS 1 - ALA Research Director 5 - CPAS/IMC/CB 1 - C/ALA/SAD 1 - C/ALA/SAD/BR	
ALA/SAD/BR/ (12 Nov 86)	25 <b>X</b> 1